

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application with claims 5-7 new; reexamination and reconsideration are hereby requested.

Claims 1 and 3-4 were rejected as anticipated by Aguilar. The Examiner pointed to module 422, alignment processor 425, and alignment algorithm in column 15, lines 15 et seq.

Applicants reply that Aguilar apparently estimates an alignment phase with the cited algorithm and modules; however, the claims require an encoded alignment phase. Indeed, in Aguilar Fig.1B the outputs have no suggestion of an alignment phase encoding; rather, frg\_q is the frame gain, pv\_q is the voicing, lsf\_q is the LSF vector, pr\_q is the pitch period, fcbi is the fixed codebook index, gp\_q is the adaptive codebook gain, and gc\_q is the fixed codebook gain. And decoder Fig.2B has module 240 which computes (not decodes) fundamental phase fo\_ph and phase offset beta from inputs pr\_q, pv\_q, and sq(n) which is the decoding of gc\_q, gp\_q, fcbi, pr\_q, and lsf\_q. In contrast, see application page 7 which shows 6 bits being allocated to the alignment phase encoding for that preferred embodiment.

Claim 2 was rejected as unpatentable over Aguilar in view of Thomson.

Applicants rely on the patentability of parent claim 1.

Formal drawings are enclosed. Applicants propose to replace the flow diagram of current Fig.3 with a flow diagram corresponding to the steps on pages 13-17; current Fig.3 appears to be just a part of a frame classification method.

Respectfully submitted,

Carlton H. Hoel  
Reg. No. 29,934  
Texas Instruments Incorporated  
PO Box 655474, M/S 3999  
Dallas, Texas 75265  
972.917.4365